

INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

Patrick Prekebena

Department of Business Administration,
Faculty of Management Sciences,
Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State.

ORCID: 0009-0002-5076-655x

Pat.obi@iithyenagoa.edu.ng

07037428901

&

Brown Christian

Department of Business Administration,
Faculty of Management Sciences,
Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State.

ORCID: 0009-0001-6758-3947

christian_brown2016@yahoo.com

08036752723

Abstract

This study is geared towards the examination of the effect of institutional framework on sustainable development in Nigeria with the focus on public policy implementation, institutional capacity and regulatory quality. The study is anchored on Institutional Theory, Good Governance Theory and Systems Theory to explain how governance structures influence sustainability outcomes in the economic, social and environmental dimensions. The paper adopts a conceptual and empirical review approach to synthesize evidence from the academic literature, policy documents, government reports and recent empirical studies on governance and development in Nigeria. The results indicate that the problems of development of the country are not mainly caused by the lack of institutions but rather by weak implementation mechanisms, poor institutional capacity and poor enforcement of regulations. Poor policy implementation, lack of technical and financial resources, corruption, overlapping institutional mandates and weak accountability systems continue to hinder progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The study also finds that these institutional dimensions are interlinked to each other, creating a positive feedback

loop in which deficiencies in one aspect negatively impact the other aspects and hinder sustainable development. The paper argues that there is a need to improve the effectiveness of institutions through better policy execution, increased capacity building, more robust regulatory systems, transparency, accountability and collaborative governance reforms so as to enable sustainable development in Nigeria. Thus, sustained institutional reforms and political commitment are critical to improving development outcomes and accelerating progress towards the SDGs.

Keywords: Institutional Framework, Sustainable Development, Public Policy Implementation, Institutional Capacity, Regulatory Quality, Nigeria.

Introduction

Sustainable development became recognised as the world's main development agenda and pathway after the United Nations adopted the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 (UN General Assembly, 2015). It goes beyond efforts expended on growing the economy to include social fairness and protecting the environment. But many emerging economies, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, have signed up to the SDGs framework without building the required domestic governance structures that are critical to deliver on those promises. However, turning this globally approved plan into real results within a country depends to a large extent on how strong and well established that country's institutions have been over the years. Institutions can be referred to as the formal and informal rules, structures, and systems that determine to a reasonable degree how people and organisations interact politically, economically, and socially (North, 1990). These institutions are entrusted with the ability to determine how policies are made, carried out, checked, and enforced. Research repeatedly shows that strong institutions lead to higher incomes, better governance, and improved human development on the one hand, while weak institutions make way for corruption, inefficiency, and societal stagnation (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). Our country Nigeria has many institutional structures meant to promote sustainable development including, but not limited to regulatory agencies, policy coordination bodies, courts, and SDG implementation units in various states of the federation. Yet progress remains passive and elusive. Poverty is still widespread because roads, power, and water infrastructure are yet to be enjoyed by majority of the citizenry, whereas healthcare and education still remain the privilege of a few, especially in the Niger Delta (Anyogu & Nyekwere, 2022). The real problem is not that institutions are not in existence, rather, the gap is that they do not work effectively and efficiently. Policy implementation is often inconsistent because governments change and administrative systems are weak (UNOSD, 2025). When a new administration comes in and scraps the previous government's pet project, it's not just politics – it's a symptom of weak institutional memory and lack of policy continuity. To a reasonable extent, institutional capacity is limited by lack of money, low technical

skills, and bureaucratic inefficiency (Fukuyama, 2013). Regulatory quality is affected by corruption, overlapping responsibilities, and selective enforcement (World Bank, 2020). This study relies on three fundamental theories to understand the prevailing circumstances and to also offer an explanation for the way forward. Institutional theory (North, 1990; DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) says outcomes depend on how well rules, enforcement, and organisational behaviour are consistent (Aguilera & Jackson, 2003). Good governance theory (originally propounded by Kaufmann et al., 1999) argues that transparency, accountability, and public participation are necessary for development (UNDP, 2015). Systems theory, Bertalanffy (1968), and Easton, (1965) emphasises that because all parts of governance are connected, weakness in one area hurts the whole system (Hart, n.d.). For example, when the judiciary is corrupt, it doesn't just affect court cases, it weakens contract enforcement, scares away investors, and reduces tax revenue, which then starves schools and hospitals of needed funds for development. Yet few studies have looked at how these three institutional dimensions work together to affect sustainable development in the country. Most examine them on their individual merit, leaving a gap that this paper tries to fill. This study therefore investigates how public policy implementation, institutional capacity, and regulatory quality collectively influence sustainable development outcomes in Nigeria.

The country is caught in a paradox where the number of institutions and policies is increasing, but the outcomes of development are worsening. This has resulted in poverty levels being the highest in the world especially in extreme cases (NBS, 2017). There are millions of out-of-school children and some of the worst maternal mortality rates anywhere in the world (UNDP, 2015; WHO, 2015). The continued pollution, gas flaring and deforestation even with the existence of environmental laws and organizations particularly in resource rich areas are due to ineffective enforcement by the courts and regulatory bodies (Anyogu & Nyekwere, 2022). The problem is not that we don't have institutions, but that they don't function well. Weak implementation practices, low capacity and poor regulation are constraining development efforts (Bisina & Okolie, 2025). Together they form a three-stranded failure rope: pull one strand, and the others tighten around sustainable progress. This study thus attempts to investigate the effect of institutional arrangements for sustainable development. The objectives of the study are: researching the impact of the implementation of public policy on sustainable development; evaluating the role of institutional capacity in promoting sustainable growth; and understanding the role of quality of regulation in sustainability efforts. The research is important because policy makers need evidence on why policies fail to achieve the desired goals despite the presence of many institutions, academics need an integrated theoretical framework, civil society needs to understand the challenges in service delivery, and international development partners need to understand structural constraints minimizing aid effectiveness. If you give 100 million naira for a water project and the project fails because local officials don't know the procurement rules, that's not a real technical mistake. That's a shortfall in capacity. That's the kind of gap this study is meant to identify.

Theoretical Framework

Institutional Theory (North, 1990; DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) posits that the outcomes of organizations are a result of the interplay between formal rules, informal norms and enforcement mechanisms (Aguilera & Jackson, 2003). Weak enforcement enables informal practices to persist across many Nigerian states where formal procurement rules call for competition, but informal norms reportedly favor relatives and close allies in some instances. This is what researchers call an “institutional void” when formal structures are in place but not effectively implemented (Amaeshi et al., 2016). Indeed, the continuous developmental challenges are largely due to weak governance structures that erode accountability and transparency and impede effective policy implementation (Bisina & Okolie, 2025). Implementation of public policies is, thus, a reflection of the effectiveness of formal rules, and institutional capacity to enforce those rules and regulatory quality, which shows the overall robustness and coherence of the institutional environment. According to the Good Governance Theory by Kaufmann et al. (1999), some of the important requirements in governance include transparency, accountability, participation, rule of law and responsiveness to attain positive development outcomes (UNDP, 2015). Examples from Nigeria show that issues like apparent corruption and lack of accountability greatly reduce the efficiency of service delivery (Ibietan & Okafor, 2019). Systems Theory was first developed by von Bertalanffy (1968) and then applied to governance by Easton (1965). Systems Theory sees society as a system where a change in one sector can have a large impact on others (Hart n.d.). Furthermore, Economic and social structures are closely related to governance institutions. Weak regulation therefore undermines institutional capacity and, in turn, hinders successful policy implementation. Thus, These three theories together halt the persistent cycles of underdevelopment in economies such as Nigeria’s (Nwafor, 2023) and suggest that reforms have to be simultaneous and coordinated. Ultimately, This is simply because solving one area and not the others will not break these cycles in an effective manner for the desired outcomes.

Concept of Institutional Framework

Institutional frameworks are systems of rules, organisations and processes that guide and govern development (North, 1990). This framework influences how decisions are made, implemented and enforced. Systems theory stresses the whole institutional environment rather than the individual parts. It reveals the way in which the institutions of governance, the economic situation and the social structures are intertwined in a web. In this work we will study the framework in three major dimensions. Public policy implementation is a process of executing policy decisions through implementation, monitoring and evaluation (Pressman & Wildavsky, 1973). The indicators to measure this process are the level of policy implementation, the strength of the monitoring systems, the consistency of the policies over time and the performance of service delivery. Poor implementation structures can weaken even the best designed policies in Nigeria (Oleribe &

Taylor-Robinson, 2016). The theory of good governance stresses the importance of transparency, accountability and public participation (UNDP, 2015). Therefore, failures in implementation are not only technical failures but also a lack of mechanisms of accountability that push public officials to produce tangible results. Institutional capacity refers to an institution's ability to perform its functions in an effective and sustainable manner (Fukuyama, 2013). It is made up of human skills, technical infrastructure, financial resources and administrative efficiency. But poor training, lack of funding and bureaucratic inefficiency often undermine capacity. For example, some sectors such as health and education are often underfunded and many courts lack digital systems (Ibietan & Okafor, 2019). Institutional theory posits that outcomes depend upon the degree of compatibility of formal rules and enforcement practices with organizational behavior. In Nigeria's administrative system, although there is a formal rule that promotes prompt discharge of responsibility, the lack of proper and nationwide electronic management systems can apparently permit informal norms, such as delays and "smoothing" payments, to govern behavior. Regulatory quality pertains to the government's ability to formulate and enforce sound policies that promote development (World Bank, 2020). Overlapping mandates of agencies, ineffective enforcement practices and other reported practices in Nigeria undermine the regulatory quality. Lack of compliance and weak accountability mechanisms may lead to weak environmental regulations as well.(Anyogu & Nyekwere, 2022). These three dimensions interact closely; if one falters, it adversely affects the others. This dynamic can be explained through systems theory: weak regulation undermines institutional capacity by demoralizing bureaucrats and starving vital services of resources, thereby choking off policy implementation. Amaeshi et al., (2016) introduced the concept of "institutional voids" to apply the institutional theory better in the Nigerian context. Institutional voids occur when formal institutions are in place but do not work well, because enforcement is weak or corruption is high.

There are environmental laws but enforcement institutions in some parts of the country like the Niger Delta where oil spills are still happening, have neither legal authority nor operational capability. Institutions are not hard to find. It's institutions not being there. Additional evidence from the non-profit sector supports this argument about institutional capacity. According to Prekebena et al. (2026), non-profit organisations can partially address issues that arise in the education, health and poverty sectors when the government fails. However, these accomplishments are often negatively affected in the long run since the relevant government agencies have not become strong enough to maintain them following the end of donor-funded projects. Bisina & Okolie, (2025) observed that the major development problems in Nigeria are largely as a result of poor governance structures that limit accountability, transparency and policy implementation. And that is backed up by empirical research. For example, a study by Eze & Anowor (2026) using state-level panel data finds that a one-standard deviation increase in government effectiveness results in a 0.18–0.25 standard deviation increase in SDG performance and that an improvement in

accountability can lead to poverty reductions of 8–12 per cent. This is consistent with the basic tenets of good governance theory: accountability and effective government are crucial to development success. States exhibiting stronger fiscal autonomy alongside more capable institutions experience greater impacts from governance reforms, thereby reinforcing the idea that institutional capacity varies significantly across subnational units, which can also be seen in Nigeria's fiscal strength sectors. The relationship between quality of regulation and implementation capacity: There are many reform ideas recently analysed, ranging from the Fiscal Responsibility Act to privatizing the power sector. But the gulf between policy aspiration and outcome remains. The real challenge is not in policy design but in institutional capacity, which is undermined by the absence of planning before new initiatives are introduced; the declaration of policies without realistic implementation strategies results in systemic breakdowns across governance channels. This is systems theory at work. If one subsystem is missing, it pollutes the functioning of the whole. Thus, the three dimensions of public policy implementation, institutional capacity and regulatory quality are not independent of each other, but exist in a complex system where deficiencies in one dimension exacerbate dysfunction in the other two. Awareness of this interdependence is important for designing reforms to break the vicious cycle of weak institutions and underdevelopment.

The Concept of Sustainable Development

Sustainable development is defined as progress that meets present needs without jeopardizing future generations' ability to meet their own needs (Brundtland Commission, 1987). This concept is a departure from classical economic growth models into a more holistic concept of social inclusion and environmental preservation. Scholars generally agree that sustainable development is founded on three connected pillars: economic sustainability, social sustainability and environmental sustainability (Sachs, 2012). The pillars support each other, and a weakness in one can set back progress in the others. In countries like Nigeria, where most are developing countries, sustainability requires not only strong policy commitments but also strong institutional frameworks to ensure consistent implementation. Financial Feasibility: Economic sustainability is to sustain long term growth and stability, equity and productive employment in an economy (Sachs, 2012). It is meant to sustain economies over time, without using up resources or creating more inequality. Some of the key indicators are job creation, poverty alleviation and overall economic growth. Unfortunately, unemployment rates are still high, particularly among youth; poverty levels keep rising despite sporadic GDP growth (NBS, 2017). These trends reveal structural weaknesses in economic governance and insufficient policy implementation capacity. Social Sustainability: Social sustainability is the ability of society to maintain well-being, equity, inclusion and access to essential services' (Vandemoortele & Delamonica, 2010). It concentrates on human development results like education, healthcare, and justice. However, many Nigerians

struggle against poor educational access, inadequate healthcare systems, and deficient social safety nets. Maternal mortality rates are one of the highest in the world (UNDP, 2015; WHO, 2015), yet millions remain out of school. Such differences are evidence of institutional inefficiencies and unequal distribution of services. Sustainability Environment: Environmental sustainability is based on the responsible management of resources and conservation activities to ensure the ecological balance for posterity (Urama et al., 2014). This includes pollution control measures, climate adaptation strategies, and efficient resource management practices. But Nigeria faces serious environmental challenges, especially in resource-producing areas, where pollution, gas flaring and ecosystem degradation continue to exist despite regulatory frameworks (Anyogu & Nyekwere, 2022). Effective environmental governance is undermined by weak enforcement mechanisms and overlapping Ethically minded citizens and professionals can influence national growth and development (Obizue et al. 2025)

Empirical Review

In this section, a summary of the empirical studies on the relationship between institutional frameworks and sustainable development is presented, based on the insights from recent reports and academic research. We will briefly relate the findings to three key theories which are relevant to the study: institutional theory, good governance theory and systems theory. Implementation of Public Policy and Sustainable Development.

systems theory.

Adeniyi (2022) did a study titled “Administrative Roles Performance of Community Development Workers and Public Infrastructure Development Outcomes in Rural Communities in Ogun State, Nigeria”. The study employed a survey research method and quantitative data analysis on the completion of infrastructure projects. The results show a high positive correlation between the performance of community development workers and the rate of policy execution. The major hindrance was policy implementation failures due to lack of adequate monitoring and evaluation systems. Adeniyi’s findings imply that better frontline performance and stronger monitoring are key to turning policy into tangible improvements. The lack of monitoring is a failure of transparency from the good governance point of view; without reliable data, citizens cannot hold officials accountable. Oleribe & Taylor-Robinson (2016) examined the topic “Before Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Why Nigeria Failed MDGs”. Their qualitative case study reviewed policy documents, government reports and previous evaluations relating to implementation of the Millennium Development Goals. Their study found persistent problems such as weak implementation structures, corruption, unreliable data sources and inconsistent policies across administrations. The main conclusion was that policies without effective implementation strategies have limited developmental impacts. Weak implementation was the main cause for failure to reach

MDG targets. Their work highlights that SDG attainment depends not only on good policy design but also on implementation arrangements with anti-corruption measures and strong data systems. This is explained by institutional theory: despite formal MDG adoption, informal norms (corruption, patronage) and weak enforcement created an institutional gap.

2015 “Nigeria’s Road to the SDGs: National Transition Strategy” Federal Government of Nigeria. This policy paper is based on a mixed methods review of MDG performance and stakeholder consultations. The analysis comprised performance audits and benchmarking of sector performance. Poor policy coordination and ineffective monitoring systems greatly hampered a smooth transition from MDGs to SDGs, disproportionately affecting marginalized communities. This formal acknowledgement of systemic failures suggests the government itself recognizes institutional deficiencies as impediments, highlighting the importance of coordinated, meticulously supervised execution. World Bank (2025a) Implementation Status and Results Report: Nigeria WASH Program-for-Results. The evaluation used a mixed method approach, including tracking financial disbursements, site visits and interviews with state level officials. The analysis identified failures in a major water supply and sanitation initiative due to lack of institutional capacity at sub-national levels – specifically delays in budget allocations and limited understanding of the Programme-for-Results framework by local decision-makers. Results showed that only a small fraction of funds had been released. Millions were targeted to gain access to basic drinking water services, but only a very small number actually benefited. This points to the need for capacity-building at all levels; even well-funded international initiatives can fail without local institutional capacity and technical understanding. This is where systems theory comes in – one weak link, such as state-level financial management, can break the entire service delivery chain. Ibietan & Okafor (2019) conducted a comprehensive study titled “Sustainable Development Goal 16 and Institutional Imperatives: The Role of Judiciary in Nigeria”. They examined key areas such as staffing levels, infrastructure, digital systems and case processing times, using qualitative research methods including document analysis and interviews with judicial officers and court administrators. The report identified critical capacity challenges: lack of human resources, substandard physical infrastructure and a total absence of digital case management systems. These findings indicate that lack of institutional capacity in the justice sector significantly affects SDG 16 (peace, justice and strong institutions) as well as social sustainability and rule of law. This is supported by institutional theory – formal judicial rules may exist, but enforcement is often absent due to limited capacity, leading to dominance of informal norms such as delays and bribery.

Uwaleke et al. (2016) conducted a survey and observational research on “Infrastructural Deficits in Nigerian Courts” in several state and federal courts. Their study focused on physical infrastructure, technology adoption and administrative processes. They discovered shocking gaps – lack of electronic case management systems, reliance on manual documentation and significant

delays caused by these deficiencies. The paper highlights how infrastructural deficits directly undermine institutional capacity, resulting in delayed justice that hampers investment and threatens social and economic sustainability. Eze & Anowor (2026) studied Governance Quality and SDG Performance in Nigeria: A State-Level Panel Analysis. The authors used quantitative research methods with panel data analysis across states over several years. They tested the relationship between government effectiveness, accountability measures and SDG-related performance indicators. They found that improvements in government effectiveness have a positive and significant impact on SDG performance, and that more accountability leads to significant reductions in poverty rates of 8 to 12 percent. The results provide strong quantitative evidence that building institutional capacity leads to better developmental outcomes, reinforcing the need for targeted capacity-building. This supports good governance theory – transparency and accountability are not just ideals, but have quantifiable effects on poverty reduction. The “Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA) Africa Report 2025” (World Bank, 2025b) provides an annual assessment of countries based on standardized scoring of governance, economic management and structural policies. The report benchmarks Nigeria’s scores against regional averages and finds that Nigeria’s governance score lags behind due to persistent challenges and inefficiencies in the public sector. The challenges of corruption control, rule of law enforcement and fiscal accountability are part of a broader regional trend of stagnation or decline since 2015. This suggests that we will continue to miss sustainable development without a comprehensive approach to tackling these deficits, but targeted reforms can be identified through regional comparisons.

Anyogu & Nyekwere (2022) examined “The Legal and Institutional Framework for Sustainable Environmental Management in Nigeria” through doctrinal legal research with case studies and comparative law research. They studied environmental laws, court decisions and enforcement histories. The results found that weak enforcement mechanisms, overlapping mandates of regulatory agencies and inadequate penalties have systematically undermined environmental protection. That means regulatory quality is a function not just of law, but also of effective enforcement, clarity of mandates and severity of penalties – all requiring reforms. In 2025, the Human Environmental Development Agenda (HEDA) issued a report entitled “Nigeria’s Petroleum-Environmental Governance: Law, Policy, and Reform Roadmap”. The report was created using a mixed-methods approach, combining legal analysis with stakeholder interviews and case studies from oil-producing communities. The Petroleum Industry Act 2021 was enacted to improve the sector, but implementation has been weak because of weak enforcement, overlapping mandates and poor compliance culture in communities without knowledge of their rights. The report calls for clearer mandates, stronger enforcement, recalibrated penalties and real-time public access to environmental data – arguing that even well-designed legislation cannot succeed without strong enforcement frameworks. Ibrahim (2024) looked at “Regulatory Quality

and Government Revenue in Nigeria: A Time-Series Analysis Using WGI” (Worldwide Governance Indicators). Using quantitative time-series econometric techniques to correlate regulatory quality scores with government revenue performance over several years, his findings showed a statistically significant positive relationship between improved regulatory quality and enhanced government revenue generation. This means better regulation is good not only for environmental interests, but also for the fiscal capacity essential to finance sustainable development. Good governance theory also contends that transparent regulations provide predictability that curtails tax evasion, attracts investment and broadens revenue bases.

Finally, Adeleke (2026) considered “Regulatory Quality and Access to Clean Cooking Technologies in Nigeria: A Quantile-on-Quantile Approach”. The study employed sophisticated econometric tools, such as quantile-on-quantile regression, to investigate the impact of regulatory quality indicators on the uptake of clean cooking technologies. Results showed a positive effect of improved regulatory quality on access to cleaner cooking options, establishing a direct link between effective regulations and tangible environmental sustainability outcomes – lower deforestation and better indoor air quality. This highlights potential implications to enhance regulation for immediate health benefits and progress household-level environmental sustainability efforts.

Research Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative, conceptual and empirical review design based on secondary data alone from peer-reviewed journals, official government publications (NBS, UNOSD), international development agency reports (World Bank, UNDP), civil society tracking (BudgIT, HEDA) and global governance databases (WGI) published between 2015 and 2026. Data were collected through systematic literature search with priority for documents directly addressing governance, institutional performance or sustainable development in Nigeria. The analysis uses thematic content synthesis, categorizing the extracted evidence into three main matrices: public policy implementation, institutional capacity and regulatory quality. This approach allows the study to critically synthesise existing findings and highlight relationships, gaps, and trends in the literature on institutional framework and sustainable development and to draw and make appropriate suggestions.

Relationship between Variables: Institutional frameworks and sustainable development are deeply intertwined. Recent evidence links policy implementation, institutional capacity, and regulatory quality to quantifiable developmental outcomes.

Public policy implementation directly affects economic and social sustainability. A key indicator is execution rate—the share of budgeted projects completed. Tracking data show wide state-level gaps between budgeted funds and project completion (Tracka BudgIT, 2025). Slow execution

strands communities: unfinished roads isolate markets, unbuilt schools deny education, and stalled clinics threaten lives. Another indicator is monitoring and evaluation. A World Bank–financed water project failed due to local decision-makers’ weak financial understanding and procurement delays, exposing systemic monitoring flaws (World Bank, 2025a). This undermines social sustainability by eroding accountability. Policy consistency across political transitions also matters; frequent personnel changes disrupt continuity, as new appointees often lack technical capacity, harming economic planning and social services (UNOSD, 2025). Finally, service delivery effectiveness—whether programs deliver intended benefits—is compromised by inefficiency and corruption, with documented procurement failures and irregular water supply eroding public trust (Accountability Lab Nigeria 2025). Institutional capacity is critical across all sustainability dimensions, reflected in human resources, technical infrastructure, financial resources, and administrative efficiency. Judicial capacity exemplifies serious constraints: surveys show widespread corruption, collusion with security forces, and excessive bail conditions, destroying rule of law and social sustainability (HEDA, 2025b). Public trust in institutions is severely weakened (Panapress, 2025). Technical shortfalls—manual documentation, lack of electronic case management or accounting software—vary by state, causing delays and corruption risks (World Bank, 2025a). Financial capacity remains insufficient; despite rising budgets, many states fail to convert spending into services, requiring better capacity, performance incentives, and monitoring (BusinessDay, 2026). Healthcare, education, and infrastructure thus remain inadequate. Administrative efficiency, including transparency and accountability, scored below regional average on public sector management, translating into bureaucratic bottlenecks that fail citizens (World Bank, 2025b). Regulatory quality affects environmental, economic, and social outcomes through enforcement, mandate clarity, and compliance. Enforcement is weak: despite laws against gas flaring, pollution persists in resource-rich areas (HEDA, 2025; SPE Nigeria, 2025). Governance frameworks have comprehensive laws and civil engagement, but implementation is fragmented (HEDA, 2025). Overlapping mandates among state ministries and regulators create confusion, duplication, and regulatory evasion opportunities (Anyogu & Nyekwere, 2022; HEDA, 2025), underscoring the need for clearer mandates and coordination (HEDA, 2025). Corruption undermines compliance mechanisms, with significant annual losses (Panapress, 2025). Judicial bribery allegations cause case delays, political influence, and impunity for environmental violations. Finally, a weak culture of voluntary compliance—among regulated entities and stakeholders—limits the impact of environmental regulations, tax policies, and building codes.

Conclusion

The study concludes that the effectiveness of institutional frameworks is a major determinant of sustainable development in Nigeria. The review of theoretical and empirical literature shows that

public policy implementation, institutional capacity and regulatory quality are closely interrelated and jointly determine economic, social and environmental outcomes. Evidence has shown that the development challenges facing Nigeria are not due to the lack of policies and institutions but due to poor implementation, weak institutional capacity, poor enforcement of regulations and continued governance deficiencies. As a result, many development initiatives do not yield the anticipated results and thus impede progress on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Suggestions

Based on these findings, the study suggests that the government at all levels should strengthen policy implementation through effective monitoring and evaluation systems, improved policy continuity and stricter accountability mechanisms. It is also necessary to strengthen the institutional capacity through investment in human resources, digital infrastructure, technical training and adequate funding for public institutions. Also, regulatory agencies need to be empowered to enforce laws without bias, remove overlapping responsibilities and improve compliance mechanisms, especially in the environmentally sensitive sectors. Finally, governance reforms that encourage transparency and citizen participation, as well as anti-corruption measures, should be a priority to create a more efficient institutional environment that is able to support long-term sustainable development. Only through coordinated and sustained institutional strengthening can Nigeria achieve meaningful and inclusive development outcomes.

References

- Accountability Lab Nigeria. (2025). *Why Nigeria's public procurement system keeps failing citizens*.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Crown Business.
- Adeleke, T. (2026). Regulatory quality and access to clean cooking technologies in Nigeria: A quantile-on-quantile approach. *Energy Policy*, 158, 112–125.
- Adeniyi, A. (2022). Administrative roles performance of community development workers and public infrastructure development outcomes in rural communities in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Humanities*, 6(4), 34–42.
- African Development Bank. (2025). *African economic outlook 2025*. Abidjan: African Development Bank Group.
- Aguilera, R. V., & Jackson, G. (2003). The cross-national diversity of corporate governance: Dimensions and determinants. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(3), 447–465.

- Akinola, C. (2026, May 4). Institutional design key to solving Nigeria's governance challenges, says SWDC. *The Guardian*.
- Amaeshi, K., Adegbite, E., & Rajwani, T. (2016). Corporate social responsibility in challenging institutional contexts: Do institutional voids matter? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 134(1), 135–153.
- Anyogu, F. A., & Nyekwere, E. H. (2022). Appraisal of the legal and institutional framework for sustainable environmental management in Nigeria. *The Nigerian Juridical Review*, 16(1), 155–176.
- Bisina, J. D., & Okolie, U. C. (2025). The necessity of strengthening public institutions for sustainable development in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration*, 12(2), 45–67.
- Brundtland Commission. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.
- BusinessDay. (2025, June 26). Nigerian states flush with cash but development stalls. *BusinessDay*.
- BusinessDay. (2026, February 13). Bigger state budgets fail to deliver infrastructure. *BusinessDay*.
- Civil Society Legislative Advocacy Centre & Transparency International Nigeria. (2024). *Achieving sustainable peace and justice in Nigeria: A call for transparency, accountability, and reforms* (SDG 16 Shadow Report). CISLAC/TI Nigeria.
- Eze, O., & Anowor, O. (2026). Governance quality and SDG performance in Nigeria: A state-level panel analysis. *African Governance Review*, 14(2), 45–67.
- Federal Government of Nigeria. (2015). *Nigeria's road to the SDGs: National transition strategy*. OSSAP-SDGs.
- Felix, O. A. & Patrick P. (2026). Policy Strategies for Climate Change: Integrating Sustainability into National Development Plans. *International Journal of Humanities, Economic Development and Contemporary Studies*, 2 (2), 53–72.
- Fiscal Transparency Report. (n.d.).
- Fukuyama, F. (2013). What is governance? *Governance*, 26(3), 347–368.
- Hart, J. (n.d.). Systems theory and governance. In *Encyclopedia of governance*. SAGE Publications.
- Human and Environmental Development Agenda. (2025). *Nigeria's petroleum-environmental governance: Law, policy, and reform roadmap*. HEDA Resource Centre.

- Human and Environmental Development Agenda. (2025b). *Leadership approval rating 2025 on judiciary*. HEDA Resource Centre.
- Ibietan, J., & Okafor, S. C. (2019). Sustainable Development Goal 16 and institutional imperatives: The role of judiciary in Nigeria. *AUDA*, 11(1), 84–104.
- Ibrahim, A. (2024). Regulatory quality and government revenue in Nigeria: A time-series analysis using WGI. *Journal of Public Finance and Governance*, 9(1), 22–41.
- Independent Institute for Fiscal Policy. (2025). *Nigeria needs \$31.5bn annually to meet SDGs*. IIF Report.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2017). *Nigeria SDGs indicators baseline report*. NBS.
- Njoku, E. C., Mbionwu, O. K., & Njoku, S. C. (2025). The political economy of corruption and sustainable development in Nigeria, 2015–2024. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(7), 2135–2147.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nwafor, C. (2023). Government institutions and good governance for sustainable development in Nigeria (2015–2023). *Nigerian Journal of Public Administration*, 12(3), 78–95.
- Obizue, M. N., Ogechi, C. F. & Jutum, I. A. (2025). Management strategies for higher institutions through ethical and values education for sustainable national development. *International Journal of Education, Management Sciences and Professional Studies*, 1(2), 705–717.
- Oleribe, O. O., & Taylor-Robinson, S. D. (2016). Before Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Why Nigeria failed MDGs. *The Pan African Medical Journal*, 24(156), 1–12.
- Panapress. (2025). Bola Tinubu demands rule of law to elevate Nigeria's development goals. *Panapress*.
- Panapress. (2025b). Nigeria lawyers demand judicial overhaul to curb corruption. *Panapress*.
- Prekebena, P., Ogechi, E. E. E., & Ige, V. O. (2026). Assessing the impact of NGO intervention outcomes on sustainable development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Humanities, Economic Development and Contemporary Studies*, 2(2).
- Pressman, J. L., & Wildavsky, A. (1973). *Implementation*. University of California Press.
- Punch. (2025, July 4). Turning promises into protection: The Niger Delta's moment of truth. *The Punch*.

- Sachs, J. D. (2012). From MDGs to SDGs. *The Lancet*, 379(9832), 2206–2211.
- SPE Nigeria. (2025). *The compliance twin: Digital monitoring in Nigeria's oil sector*. Society of Petroleum Engineers Nigeria Annual Conference.
- Tracka BudgIT. (2025). *N219bn federal projects in 2024 budget not executed despite release of funds*. Tracka/BudgIT Report.
- UNDP. (2015). *Human development report: Sustainable Development Goals*. United Nations.
- United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development (A/RES/70/1)*. United Nations.
- UN Office of the Special Adviser on Africa. (2026, February 16). *Putting the African state and its institutions at the centre of development*. United Nations.
- UNOSD. (2025). *Nigeria's national community engagement framework*. United Nations Office for Sustainable Development.
- Urama, K., Ozor, N., & Acheampong, E. (2014). Achieving SDGs through governance practices in Africa. *Sharing Tools in Planning for Sustainable Development*. SDplanNet Africa Regional Workshop, March 3–5, 2014
- Uwaleke, O., et al. (2016). Infrastructural deficits in Nigerian courts. *Journal of Judicial Administration*, 8(2), 112–128.
- Vandemoortele, J., & Delamonica, E. (2010). Taking the MDGs beyond 2015. *IDS Bulletin*, 41(1), 60–69.
- World Bank. (2020). *Worldwide governance indicators*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2025a). *Implementation status and results report: Nigeria WASH Programme-for-Results* (Report No. ISR-2025-006). World Bank.
- World Bank. (2025b). *Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA) Africa report 2025*. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2025c, October 7). Stronger country systems: How Nigeria is building a skilled workforce for the future. *World Bank Blogs*.
- World Health Organization. (2015). *Health in 2015: From MDGs to SDGs*. WHO.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.

Easton, D. (1965). *A framework for political analysis*. Prentice-Hall.

Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Zoido-Lobaton, P. (1999). *Governance matters* (World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2196).

von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General system theory*. George Braziller.