
AN EXPLORATORY STUDY OF SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND SUSTAINABLE BUSINESS PRACTICES: EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIAN ENTERPRISES

Mary Ajibuwa Oyedokun

Affiliation: Department of Social Studies, School of Arts and Social Sciences,
Federal College of Education (Technical), Omoku

maryoyedokun4@gmail.com

+2348038974209

Ighiere Kenneth Aloaye

Affiliation: Department of Entrepreneurship Education,
Federal College of Education (Technical), Omoku

ighierekenneth@gmail.com

+2348107095949

Nnam-Obi Joy Nkechinyere

Affiliation: Department of Entrepreneurship Education,
Federal College of Education (Technical), Omoku

obijoy289@gmail.com

+23463457869

Abstract

This study examines Social Responsibility and Sustainable Business Practices: Evidence from Nigerian Enterprises. In the 21st century, the role of business has expanded beyond profit generation to include contributions to social welfare and environmental sustainability. This shift is particularly critical in Nigeria, Africa's largest economy, where enterprises operate amid institutional voids, infrastructural deficits, and high societal expectations. The study investigates how Nigerian firms conceptualize and practice social responsibility, and the extent to which these practices translate into sustainable business outcomes. Drawing on stakeholder theory and the concept of shared value, the paper argues that responsible business conduct is not peripheral but central to firm survival and competitiveness in volatile contexts. Evidence suggests that while multinational corporations often adopt globally driven CSR frameworks, indigenous firms and SMEs in Nigeria engage in socially responsible activities rooted in communal values, owner ethics, and the need to secure community legitimacy. Key sustainable practices identified include investments in renewable energy, financial inclusion, employee development, and community infrastructure. However, challenges such as macroeconomic instability, weak regulatory

enforcement, and limited data constrain the scaling and measurement of these practices. The study concludes that for Nigerian enterprises to achieve long-term resilience, social responsibility must be embedded in core business strategy rather than treated as charity. The findings have implications for policymakers, business leaders, and scholars seeking to promote inclusive and sustainable enterprise in emerging economies.

Keywords: Social Responsibility, Sustainable Business Practices, Nigerian Enterprises, Corporate Sustainability, Stakeholder Engagement

Introduction

The evolving role of business in contemporary society has moved well beyond the narrow conception of profit maximization. Enterprises today are increasingly evaluated not only by their financial performance, but by the extent to which their operations contribute to the social and ecological systems in which they are embedded. This redefinition of corporate purpose is particularly significant in developing economies, where firms operate in contexts marked by institutional gaps, resource constraints, and heightened public expectations. Nigeria provides a critical setting for examining this shift. As Africa's largest economy and most populous country, Nigeria is characterized by a dual enterprise structure that includes large multinationals in extractive and service sectors alongside a vast network of indigenous firms and micro, small and medium enterprises that employ the majority of the labor force. Within this environment, the discourse on social responsibility and sustainable business practices is no longer an imported concept but a practical necessity linked to firm survival, community relations, and long-term development (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012).

Social responsibility refers to the obligation of firms to consider the effects of their decisions and actions on stakeholders beyond shareholders. This includes employees, customers, suppliers, host communities, and the natural environment. Freeman (2010) stated that stakeholders argues that organizational legitimacy and performance depend on managing these multiple relationships effectively rather than privileging one group over others. In Nigeria, this obligation takes on added weight because the state often lacks the capacity to provide basic infrastructure and social services in many locations. Consequently, communities frequently look to companies operating within their vicinity to provide employment, health support, education infrastructure, and environmental management. Jamali & Karam (2018) observed that when firms respond to these expectations, they are not engaging in discretionary charity but in activities that secure their license to operate and reduce conflict, disruption, and reputational risk.

Sustainable business practices represent the operationalization of that responsibility. Sustainability requires integrating economic goals with social inclusion and environmental protection in ways that do not compromise the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. At the firm level, this translates into decisions about resource efficiency, waste reduction, ethical sourcing, employee welfare, governance, and community engagement. Research indicates that firms that embed sustainability into core strategy rather than treating it as a peripheral activity tend to achieve greater resilience, innovation, and stakeholder trust (Obizue, 2024). In the Nigerian context, sustainability is often driven by necessity. Energy deficits have pushed manufacturers to invest in solar and gas alternatives. Talent shortages have led firms to create internal training and apprenticeship programs. Financial exclusion has encouraged banks and fintech companies to design products for informal traders and rural households. These adaptations demonstrate how sustainable practices can emerge from local problem-solving rather than solely from global reporting frameworks (Amaeshi, Adegbite, & Rajwani, 2016).

The Nigerian enterprise landscape offers important insights because it is not homogenous. Multinational corporations in oil and gas, telecommunications, and consumer goods have been exposed to international standards on environmental management and social performance for several decades. Their operations have introduced practices such as impact assessment, stakeholder consultation, and sustainability disclosure into the Nigerian business environment. However, the majority of economic activity occurs within locally owned firms and SMEs. For these enterprises, engagement with social responsibility is often informal, driven by owner values, religious and communal norms, and the immediate need to maintain good relations with host communities. Studies show that in many African contexts, corporate social responsibility is understood less as a Western import and more as an extension of indigenous values of communalism, reciprocity, and mutual support. This suggests that sustainable business in Nigeria is likely to take forms that differ from those prescribed in global guidelines, and that understanding these local expressions is essential.

Despite growing attention, several challenges limit the adoption and measurement of responsible and sustainable practices among Nigerian enterprises. Macroeconomic instability, policy inconsistency, and limited access to finance create incentives for short-term decision making. Regulatory enforcement is uneven across sectors and regions, which can disadvantage firms that invest in compliance and social programs. Furthermore, there is a dearth of systematic data on the social and environmental performance of Nigerian firms, making it difficult to assess impact or to attract responsible investment. These gaps mean that while many firms are engaged in socially relevant activities, these efforts are often fragmented, undocumented, and disconnected from strategic planning (Adeleye, 2022). Addressing this requires empirical evidence drawn directly

from Nigerian firms to understand what motivates responsible behavior, how it is implemented, and what outcomes it produces.

This study is therefore positioned to contribute to that evidence base. Its purpose is to examine how social responsibility is understood and practiced by Nigerian enterprises and how such practices relate to sustainable business outcomes. The focus is on firm-level experiences across different sectors and sizes, with attention to the motivations, constraints, and institutional factors that shape behavior. By grounding the analysis in the Nigerian context, the study avoids the assumption that models developed in advanced economies can be directly transferred without adaptation. Instead, it seeks to identify patterns, tensions, and opportunities that are specific to Nigeria's economic, cultural, and governance environment. The relevance of this inquiry extends to multiple audiences. For business leaders, it provides insight into how responsibility can be aligned with competitiveness. For policymakers, it offers evidence for designing incentives and regulations that support sustainable enterprise. For scholars, it contributes to a growing literature on corporate responsibility in emerging economies that emphasizes context and agency.

Ultimately, the central argument is that Nigerian enterprises are not merely economic units but social actors whose decisions have far-reaching consequences for livelihoods and environmental quality. In a country where development needs are urgent and public resources are limited, the choices firms make about how they engage with society and the environment will shape the trajectory of inclusive growth. This introduction sets the foundation for an investigation that is empirical, context-sensitive, and oriented toward practice. The sections that follow will present evidence on how Nigerian enterprises are navigating the demands of social responsibility and sustainability, and what this means for the future of business in Nigeria.

Conceptual Dialectics

Corporate Social Responsibility

Social responsibility refers to the obligation of business enterprises to act in ways that benefit society and minimize harm while pursuing their economic objectives. It is based on the understanding that firms do not operate in isolation. Every organization depends on society for labor, customers, raw materials, infrastructure, legal protection, and social legitimacy. Therefore, business decisions have consequences that extend beyond shareholders to employees, suppliers, customers, host communities, government, and the natural environment (Freeman, 2010).

According to Obizue (2024), corporate social responsibility requires firms to balance economic performance with ethical conduct and societal well-being. This means paying fair wages, ensuring safe working conditions, producing quality and safe products, respecting human rights, complying with laws, protecting the environment, and contributing to community development. Aguinis &

Glavas (2012) added that it also involves transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement in decision-making. In the Nigerian context, social responsibility takes on added importance because of institutional gaps. Where public services are weak, communities often expect companies to provide jobs, health support, education facilities, and environmental management. Firms that ignore these expectations risk protests, vandalism, regulatory sanctions, and loss of reputation, while firms that address them build trust, reduce operational risk, and gain competitive advantage (Jamali & Karam, 2018). Ultimately, social responsibility is not charity. It is a strategic approach in which businesses recognize that long-term profitability depends on the health of the social and environmental systems they operate in. When done well, it aligns business success with societal progress.

Sustainable Business Practices

Sustainable business practices refer to the deliberate ways firms organize production, resource use, governance, and stakeholder relations to create economic value while protecting social equity and environmental integrity. The core idea is that a business cannot thrive long-term if the communities and ecosystems it depends on are degraded. Sustainability therefore requires firms to meet present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet theirs.

In practice, this means integrating social and environmental considerations into everyday operations rather than treating them as separate CSR projects. For Nigerian enterprises, sustainable practices often emerge from operational challenges. Examples include adopting energy-efficient technologies and renewable energy to address power deficits, implementing waste reduction and recycling systems to cut costs and pollution, sourcing inputs ethically to secure supply chains, and investing in employee health, training, and fair labor conditions to improve productivity and retention (Esomadu, 2017). In the words of Obizue (2024), sustainable business also involves good governance: transparency, anti-corruption measures, stakeholder engagement, and compliance beyond minimum legal requirements. He further emphasized that firms that embed these practices into strategy tend to build greater resilience, brand trust, and access to investors, especially in volatile emerging economies like Nigeria where risks are high. The whole idea of sustainable business practices is about creating “shared value” where profit generation and societal progress reinforce each other instead of competing.

Corporate Sustainability

Corporate sustainability refers to a firm’s approach to managing its business in a way that creates long-term economic value while simultaneously addressing social and environmental responsibilities. It moves beyond short-term profit and compliance, and instead integrates sustainability into the core strategy, culture, and operations of the organization (Dyllick & Hockerts, 2002). The premise is that a company’s viability depends on the health of the economic,

social, and ecological systems in which it operates. At the economic level, corporate sustainability involves efficient resource use, innovation, risk management, and governance structures that ensure transparency and accountability. Esomadu (2017) averred that it requires fair labor practices, diversity and inclusion, community development, and respect for human rights. Environmentally, it means reducing carbon emissions, managing waste, conserving water and energy, and protecting biodiversity.

For Nigerian enterprises, corporate sustainability is especially relevant because of operational realities such as energy instability, climate variability, and high community expectations. Firms that adopt sustainable practices, such as investing in renewable energy, building local capacity, and engaging communities in decision-making, often experience improved stakeholder trust, reduced conflict, and greater resilience to shocks (Amaeshi, Adegbite, & Rajwani, 2016). Unlike philanthropy, corporate sustainability is strategic. It positions social and environmental performance as drivers of competitiveness rather than costs. When embedded properly, it enables firms to align business growth with societal progress, ensuring that value created today does not undermine the resources and relationships needed for tomorrow.

Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder engagement refers to the ongoing process through which an organization involves individuals and groups that are affected by, or can affect, its operations in decision-making and value creation. The stakeholder perspective argues that firms do not exist only for shareholders, but must account for employees, customers, suppliers, host communities, government, investors, and civil society organizations whose interests influence business success (Freeman, 2010). Obizue (2024) asserted that effective engagement goes beyond one-way communication or public relations and it involves identifying relevant stakeholders, understanding their expectations and concerns, and integrating their input into strategy, operations, and risk management. This can take the form of consultations, partnerships, grievance mechanisms, joint projects, and transparent reporting. Adeleye (2017) supported that when done well, engagement builds trust, reduces conflict, improves legitimacy, and provides firms with early information about social and environmental risks.

In the Nigerian context, stakeholder engagement is particularly critical. In sectors such as oil and gas, manufacturing, and agribusiness, poor relations with host communities have led to protests, sabotage, and operational shutdowns. Firms that invest in dialogue, local employment, and community development projects tend to secure a stronger social license to operate and experience fewer disruptions (Idemudia, 2011). For SMEs, engagement often occurs informally through direct relationships with customers, suppliers, and community leaders, and is rooted in norms of reciprocity and mutual support (Amaeshi, Adegbite, & Rajwani, 2016). Ultimately, stakeholder

engagement is a strategic capability. It enables firms to align business objectives with societal needs, co-create solutions, and enhance long-term resilience. Organizations that treat stakeholders as partners rather than as external pressures are better positioned to achieve sustainable performance (Okenwa & Asuzu, 2023).

Relationship between Social Responsibility and Sustainable Business Practices

Social Responsibility and Sustainable Business Practices are closely linked concepts, but they are not identical. Social Responsibility refers to a firm's obligation to consider the social and ethical impacts of its decisions on stakeholders such as employees, customers, communities, and government. Sustainable Business Practices is all about strategies and operations that meet present business needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet theirs, by balancing economic, social, and environmental performance. In the context of Nigerian enterprises, the two are mutually reinforcing: social responsibility provides the _motivation and ethical foundation_, while sustainable practices provide the _operational mechanisms_ for achieving long-term viability.

There is strategic alignment between the two. Social responsibility pushes firms to look beyond profit and consider how their activities affect people and society. Sustainable business practices translate that responsibility into concrete actions such as energy efficiency, waste management, fair labor, community development, and responsible sourcing. For Nigerian enterprises operating in contexts with weak public infrastructure and high societal expectations, CSR initiatives like providing boreholes, schools, or skills training become sustainable practices when they are institutionalized into business processes rather than treated as one-off charity (Idemudia, 2011). This alignment helps firms secure a social license to operate, especially in sectors like oil, manufacturing, and banking where community relations are critical.

The second relationship is stakeholder-driven value creation. Stakeholder Theory explains that firms depend on relationships with multiple groups to survive and grow (Freeman, 2010). When Nigerian firms engage stakeholders responsibly through fair wages, customer protection, supplier development, and community consultation they build trust and loyalty. These relationships then enable sustainable practices: loyal employees reduce turnover costs, trusted communities reduce disruptions, and engaged customers support brand reputation. Aguinis and Glavas (2012) found that stakeholder-oriented CSR improves employee commitment and customer loyalty, which are direct inputs to business sustainability, especially in volatile markets like Nigeria.

In a good measure, there is risk management and resilience. Social irresponsibility often leads to protests, regulatory sanctions, environmental damage, and reputational harm. By adopting sustainable practices in response to social pressures, firms reduce these risks. For example, a manufacturing firm in Onitsha that manages waste responsibly and engages its host community is

less likely to face shutdowns or vandalism. Obizue (2024) argues that firms that embed social and environmental considerations into strategy are more resilient during economic and political shocks. In Nigeria, where institutional enforcement is uneven, proactive sustainability acts as a buffer against uncertainty (Amaeshi, Adegbite, & Rajwani, 2016).

Institutional Theory shows that organizations gain legitimacy by conforming to societal norms and expectations (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Obizue (2024) observed that in Nigeria, social responsibility is often defined locally through norms of communalism, religious giving, and patronage and when firms align sustainable practices with these local expectations such as supporting youth employment or local infrastructure, they gain legitimacy that translates into market access, government support, and investor confidence. Over time, this creates a feedback loop, in the sense that socially responsible behaviour leads to sustainable practices, which in turn reinforce the firm's reputation and long-term performance.

However, it is important to understand that the relationship is constrained by institutional voids and resource limitations. Many Nigerian SMEs practice informal CSR due to lack of capital, technical capacity, or regulatory guidance. Without policy support and measurement systems, social responsibility may remain philanthropic and disconnected from sustainability goals.

In sum, social responsibility defines the "why" and "for whom" while sustainable business practices define the "how". For Nigerian enterprises, the relationship is symbiotic: responsible engagement with stakeholders drives the adoption of sustainable practices, and those practices in turn strengthen the firm's social legitimacy, operational stability, and long-term performance. Firms that integrate both will be better positioned to thrive amid Nigeria's development challenges (Obizue, 2024).

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in Stakeholder Theory and Institutional Theory to explain social responsibility and sustainable business practices among Nigerian enterprises.

Stakeholder Theory argues that firm success depends on managing relationships with all groups that affect or are affected by business operations, such as employees, customers, communities, government, and investors (Freeman, 2010). It rejects profit-only motives and emphasizes that long-term performance comes from creating shared value through stakeholder engagement. Idemudia (2011) believes that in Nigeria, where public services are limited and community expectations are high, ignoring stakeholders can lead to conflict and operational disruption, while proactive engagement builds legitimacy, trust, and resilience. Stakeholder-oriented practices such as local hiring, product development for underserved groups, and skills training also improve

employee commitment and customer loyalty, making stakeholder management a strategic necessity in volatile markets (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012).

Institutional Theory explains how firm behavior is shaped by formal and informal rules, norms, and pressures in the operating environment (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Organizations adopt practices to gain legitimacy through three pressures: coercive from laws, mimetic from copying peers, and normative from cultural and professional standards. Nigeria's context of institutional voids weak regulation, uneven enforcement, and limited state capacity means that sustainable practices often emerge informally and are influenced by local norms of communalism and patronage. Multinationals may adopt formal global standards due to coercive pressure, while SMEs rely on local expectations. However, Khanna & Palepu (2010) advocated that over time, rising demands for transparency and ESG criteria intensify institutional pressure for sustainability.

In summary, Stakeholder Theory explains why Nigerian firms engage in social responsibility, while Institutional Theory explains how and under what conditions_ they do so. The two theories provide a context-specific lens for understanding responsible business in Nigeria.

Empirical Review

Empirical studies on social responsibility and sustainable business practices in Nigeria show mixed but growing evidence of firm engagement, with outcomes shaped by sector, size, and institutional context.

Idemudia (2011) examined multinational oil firms in the Niger Delta and found that CSR activities such as community development projects and stakeholder consultations improved firm-community relations and reduced conflict, but impact was limited by poor implementation, lack of community participation, and weak regulatory oversight. The study concluded that CSR must be integrated into core business strategy rather than treated as philanthropy to achieve sustainable outcomes.

Amaeshi, Adegbite, and Rajwani (2016) studied Nigerian firms operating in institutional voids and reported that social responsibility is largely driven by informal norms, owner values, and the need to secure legitimacy with government officials and traditional rulers. Their findings show that in the absence of strong state institutions, firms adopt context-specific practices like direct provision of infrastructure and employment, which differ from Western CSR models.

Okenwa & Asuzu (2023) explored the impact of CSR in emerging markets including Nigeria. They found that while consumers value ethical business conduct, CSR rarely drives purchasing decisions due to low awareness, economic constraints, and skepticism about corporate motives. This suggests firms gain more reputational than market benefits from CSR in Nigeria.

Bansal (2005) conducted a longitudinal study on corporate sustainable development and established that firms that embed environmental and social considerations into strategy achieve greater long-term resilience and stakeholder trust. Applied to Nigeria, evidence indicates that firms investing in energy efficiency, waste reduction, and employee welfare perform better during economic shocks, though measurement and reporting remain weak.

Collectively, these studies show that Nigerian enterprises engage in social responsibility and sustainability, but practice is fragmented, informal, and constrained by institutional gaps. Strategic integration and stronger stakeholder involvement are key to improving impact.

Conclusion

In conclusion, evidence from Nigerian enterprises shows that social responsibility and sustainable business practices are increasingly recognized as strategic imperatives for legitimacy, resilience, and long-term performance, though adoption remains constrained by institutional voids and resource limitations. For sustainable impact, Nigerian firms must move beyond informal and philanthropic approaches to embed stakeholder engagement and sustainability into core business strategy, supported by stronger policy and institutional frameworks (Amaeshi, Adegbite, & Rajwani, 2016).

Suggestions

Based on the discussion on Social Responsibility and Sustainable Business Practices: Evidence from Nigerian Enterprises, the following suggestions were made

1. Firms should move beyond philanthropic donations and embed social and environmental goals into business operations to create shared value and ensure long-term impact.
2. Enterprises should proactively involve employees, host communities, government, and customers in decision-making to build trust, reduce conflict, and enhance legitimacy.
3. Government and industry bodies should provide clearer policies, stronger enforcement, and support infrastructure so firms are not solely responsible for filling gaps in public services.
4. Companies should invest in energy efficiency, waste reduction, and employee welfare to improve resilience during economic shocks and meet rising ESG expectations.
5. Nigerian enterprises need better systems to measure, document, and communicate CSR and sustainability activities to build credibility with stakeholders and investors.

References

- Adeleye, F. (2022). Corporate citizenship and economic development in Africa. *International Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 3(1), 129–143.
- Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932–968.
- Amaeshi, K., Adegbite, E., & Rajwani, T. (2016). Corporate social responsibility in challenging and non-enabling institutional contexts: Do institutional voids matter? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 134(1), 135–153.
- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2421-4>
- Bansal, P. (2005). Evolving sustainably: A longitudinal study of corporate sustainable development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(3), 197–218.
- <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.441>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
- Dyllick, T., & Hockerts, K. (2002). Beyond the business case for corporate sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 11(2), 130–141.
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Idemudia, U. (2011). Corporate social responsibility and developing countries: Moving the research agenda forward. *Progress in Development Studies*, 11(1), 1–11.
- <https://doi.org/10.1177/146499341001100101>
- Jamali, D., & Karam, C. (2018). Corporate social responsibility in developing countries as an emerging field of study. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 20(1), 32–61.
- <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12112>
- Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. G. (2010). *Winning in emerging markets*. Harvard Business Press.
- Obizue, E. C. (2024). Impact of corporate governance on organizational performance, 2009–2024. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Contemporary Studies*, 1(3), 238–253.
- Okenwa, E & Asuzu, M.U. (2023). Corporate social responsibility for sustainable SMEs in Nigeria. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Scientific Research*, 2(3), 223–239.